

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

**LISTING OF CLAIMS:**

1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:
  - (a) providing an instruction to access valid data in a cache; and
  - (b) ~~to indicate~~ indicating that a line storing the valid data in the cache is a candidate for replacement by reducing an importance level of the line after the valid data is ~~aeessed~~ accessed; and
  - (c) while maintaining the line as a valid line.
2. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1 further comprising:  
reducing an importance level of the line based on the instruction.
3. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 2 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the line results in the line being replaced prior to an other line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.
4. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 3 wherein the replacement policy is a least recently used policy and wherein said other line is less recently used than the line.
5. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1 further comprising:  
altering an allocation methodology of the cache based on the instruction.
6. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the instruction is part of an application kernel.
7. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the instruction is generated by a compiler.
8. (Original) The method as recited in claim 1 wherein the instruction is an extension of a memory access instruction.

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

9. (Previously Presented) A machine-readable medium having stored thereon an instruction to perform a method comprising:

accessing a valid data memory component and indicating that a line storing valid data in a memory of a cache is a candidate for replacement by reducing an importance level of the line while maintaining the line as a valid line.

10. (Canceled)

11. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 9 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the line results in the line being replaced prior to an other line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.

12. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 11 wherein the replacement policy is a least recently used policy and wherein said other line is less recently used than the line.

13. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 9 further comprising:

altering an allocation methodology of the cache based on the instruction.

14. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 9 wherein the instruction in part of an application kernel.

15. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 9 wherein the instruction is generated by a compiler.

16. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 9 wherein the instruction is an extension of a memory access instruction.

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

17. (Previously Presented) A machine-readable medium having stored thereon a plurality of executable instructions to perform a method comprising:

providing an instruction to access valid data in a cache and to indicate that a line storing the valid data in the cache is a candidate for replacement by reducing an importance level of the line after the valid data is accessed while maintaining the line as a valid line.

18. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 17 wherein the method further comprises:

reducing an importance level of the line based on the indication.

19. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 18 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the line results in the line being replaced prior to an other line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.

20. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 19 wherein the replacement policy is a least recently used policy and wherein said other line is less recently used than the line.

21. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 17 the method further comprises:

altering an allocation methodology of the cache based on the indication.

22. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 17 wherein the indication is part of an application kernel.

23. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable as recited in claim 17 wherein the indication is generated by a compiler.

24. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium as recited in claim 17 wherein the indication is an extension of a memory access instruction.

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

25. (Previously Presented) A cache comprising:  
a cache memory including a cache line storing valid data; and  
a cache control logic to receive an instruction to access the valid data and an indication to reduce an importance level of the cache line based on the instruction while maintaining the cache line as a valid cache line.
26. (Original) The cache as recited in claim 25 wherein the instruction provides an indication that the cache line is a candidate for replacement.
27. (Original) The cache as recited in claim 26 wherein the cache control logic reduces an importance level of the cache line based on the indication.
28. (Original) The cache as recited in claim 27 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the cache line results in the cache line being replaced prior to another cache line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.
29. (Original) The cache as recited in claim 25 further comprising altering an allocation methodology of the cache based on the instruction.
30. (Currently Amended) A method for controlling a cache comprising:  
(a) providing an instruction to access valid data in the cache; and  
(b) ~~to indicate~~ indicating that a line storing the valid data is a candidate for replacement by reducing an importance level of the line; and  
reducing an importance level of the line based on the instruction after the valid data is accessed;  
(c) while maintaining the line as a valid line.
31. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 30 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the line results in the line being replaced prior to an other line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

32. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31 wherein the replacement policy is a least recently used policy and wherein said other line is less recently used than the line.
33. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 30 further comprising:  
altering an allocation methodology of the cache based on the instruction.
34. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 30 wherein the instruction is part of an application kernel.
35. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 30 wherein the instruction is generated by a compiler.
36. (Previously Presented) A processor comprising:  
a decoder to receive a reduced importance cache line instruction to cause said processor to  
access a valid data memory component, and  
indicate that a line storing valid data in a memory of a cache is a candidate for replacement by reducing an importance level of the line while maintaining the line as a valid line.
37. (Previously Presented) The processor of claim 36 wherein the reducing of the importance level of the line results in the line being replaced prior to an other line scheduled for replacement by a replacement policy of the cache.
38. (Previously Presented) The processor of claim 36 wherein the replacement policy is a least recently used policy and said other line is less recently used than the line.

Application No.: 09/539,839

Amendment dated: February 6, 2006

Reply to Office Action mailed: October 6, 2005

39. (Previously Presented) The processor of claim 36 wherein said reduced importance cache line instruction is further to cause said processor to:
- alter an allocation methodology of the cache based on the instruction.